

GENERALIZED BURNSIDE-GROTHENDIECK RING FUNCTOR AND APERIODIC RING FUNCTOR ASSOCIATED WITH PROFINITE GROUPS

YOUNG-TAK OH

ABSTRACT. For every profinite group G , we construct two covariant functors Δ_G and $\mathcal{AP}_G$ from the category of commutative rings with identity to itself, and show that indeed they are equivalent to the functor W_G introduced in [A. Dress and C. Siebeneicher, The Burnside ring of profinite groups and the Witt vectors construction, *Adv. Math.* **70** (1988), 87-132]. We call Δ_G the generalized Burnside-Grothendieck ring functor and $\mathcal{AP}_G$ the aperiodic ring functor (associated with G). In case G is abelian, we also construct another functor $\mathbf{Ap}_G$ from the category of commutative rings with identity to itself as a generalization of the functor $\mathbf{Ap}$ introduced in [K. Varadarajan, K. Wehrhahn, Aperiodic rings, necklace rings, and Witt vectors, *Adv. Math.* **81** (1990), 1-29]. Finally it is shown that there exist q -analogues of these functors (i.e., W_G , Δ_G , $\mathcal{AP}_G$, and $\mathbf{Ap}_G$) in case $G = \hat{C}$ the profinite completion of the multiplicative infinite cyclic group.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since E. Witt introduced it in [13], the universal ring of Witt vectors has attracted many mathematicians until now due to its interesting and peculiar ring structure. The universal ring of Witt vectors $W(A)$ associated with a commutative ring A with $1 \neq 0$ can be characterized by the following properties:

(W1) As a set, it is $A^{\mathbb{N}}$.

(W2) For any ring homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, the map $W(f) : \mathbf{a} \mapsto (f(a_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is a ring homomorphism for $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

(W3) The maps $w_n : W(A) \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \sum_{d|n} da_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \text{ for } \mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$$

are ring homomorphisms.

In [8] Metropolis and Rota gave a new interpretation of the ring of Witt vectors using the concept of so called ‘*string*’, and using it they found an algebraic structure isomorphic to $W(A)$ for integral domains A of characteristic zero. They called this algebraic structure *necklace ring* $\mathbf{Nr}(A)$ over A . The underlying set of $\mathbf{Nr}(A)$ is $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. Addition is defined componentwise and multiplication is defined by

$$(\mathbf{bc})_n = \sum_{[i,j]=n} (i,j)b_ic_j$$

This research was supported by KOSEF Grant # R01-2003-000-10012-0.

MSC : 11F03, 11F22, 17B70.

Keywords: Necklace ring, Witt-Burnside ring, Burnside-Grothendieck ring

for $\mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \geq 1}$, $\mathbf{c} = (c_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathbf{Nr}(A)$. In particular, in [3], the necklace ring $\mathbf{Nr}(\mathbb{Z})$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ was interpreted as the Burnside-Grothendieck ring $\hat{\Omega}(C)$ of isomorphism classes of almost finite cyclic sets. Here C denotes the multiplicative infinite cyclic group.

On the other hand, in [2] Dress and Siebeneicher showed that the classical construction of Witt vectors can be explained as a special case of the construction of a certain functor using group-theoretical language. In detail, they proved that there exists a covariant functor W_G from the category of commutative rings into itself associated with an arbitrary profinite group G , and that the classical construction of Witt vectors can be recovered by considering the case $G = \hat{C}$, the profinite completion of C . Furthermore they also proved that if $A = \mathbb{Z}$ the corresponding Witt-Burnside ring $W_G(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the Burnside-Grothendieck ring $\hat{\Omega}(G)$ of isomorphism classes of almost finite G -spaces. In view of Dress and Siebeneicher's work it would be quite natural to question whether there exists a functor equivalent to W_G which coincides with $\hat{\Omega}(G)$ when $A = \mathbb{Z}$. In [10, 11] the author gave an affirmative answer for a certain class of commutative rings (more correctly, the category of *special λ -rings*), and in [1] M. Brun showed that if G is a finite group then the functor W_G is equivalent to the left adjoint of the algebraic functor from the category of G -Tambara functors to the category of commutative rings with an action of G . In this paper we remove such a restriction and construct two functors Δ_G and $\mathcal{AP}_G$ from the category of commutative rings with identity to itself in a purely algebraic manner. Actually these two functors will turn out to be equivalent to W_G .

Surprisingly these functors have q -analogues in some cases. More precisely, in [7] C. Lenart showed that there exist well-defined q -deformations of $W_G(R)$, $\mathbf{Nr}_G(R)$, and $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ when $G = \hat{C}$ for any integer q and for any commutative torsion-free ring R with identity. We observe that indeed his results work for every commutative ring R with identity. Based on this observation we construct q -analogues $W_G^q(R)$, $\mathbf{Nr}_G^q(R)$, $\Delta_G^q(R)$, and $\mathcal{AP}_G^q(R)$ when $G = \hat{C}$ for every commutative ring R with identity, and also show that their construction is functorial.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the basic definitions and notation needed throughout this paper. In Section 3 and 4 we construct the functors Δ_G , $\mathcal{AP}_G$ and show that they are equivalent to W_G . One of many important properties of $W_G(R)$, $\Delta_G(R)$, and $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ is that they always come equipped with two families of additive homomorphisms. One is inductions and the other is restrictions. In fact inductions are additive, whereas restrictions are ring homomorphisms. In Section 5 it is shown that natural equivalences among W_G , Δ_G , and $\mathcal{AP}_G$ are compatible with inductions and restrictions. Finally in Section 6 we deal with q -analogues of these functors in case $G = \hat{C}$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper the rings R we consider will be commutative associative rings with $1_R \neq 0$, and the subrings will have 1_R . We begin with the basic definitions and notation on the covariant functor W_G introduced by Dress and Siebeneicher. For complete information we refer to [2]. Let G be an arbitrary profinite group. For any G -space X and any subgroup U of G define $\varphi_U(X)$ to be the cardinality of the set X^U of U -invariant elements of X and let G/U denote the G -space of left cosets of U in G . With this notation Dress and Siebeneicher showed that there

exists a unique covariant functor W_G from the category of commutative rings into itself satisfying the following three conditions:

(W_G1) For any commutative ring A the ring $W_G(A)$ coincides, as a set, with the set $A^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$ of all maps from the set $\mathcal{O}(G)$ of open subgroups of G into the ring A which are constant on conjugacy classes.

(W_G2) For every ring homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ and every $\alpha \in W_G(A)$ one has $W_G(h)(\alpha) = h \circ \alpha$.

(W_G3) For any open subgroup U of G the family of maps

$$\phi_U^A : W_G(A) \rightarrow A$$

defined by

$$\alpha \mapsto \sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \varphi_U(G/V) \cdot \alpha(V)^{(V:U)}$$

provides a natural transformation from the functor W_G into the identity. Here $U \lesssim V$ means that the open subgroup U of G is subconjugate to V , i.e., there exists some $g \in G$ with $U \leq gVg^{-1}$, $(V : U)$ means the index of U in gVg^{-1} and the symbol “ $\sum'$ ” (and “ $\prod'$ ”, too) is meant to indicate that for each conjugacy class of subgroups V with $U \lesssim V$ exactly one summand has to be taken.

Much effort has been made to find a functor equivalent to W_G (for example, see [1, 4, 10, 11, 12]), and in [10, 11], the author succeeded in getting such a functor $\mathbf{Nr}_G$ when we view W_G as a functor from the category of special λ -rings to the category of commutative rings. Let us recall its definition briefly. For arbitrary special λ -rings R , the necklace ring $\mathbf{Nr}_G(R)$ of G over R is defined as follows:

($\mathbf{Nr}_G1$) Its underlying set is

$$\prod'_{U \leq G, \text{ open}} R.$$

($\mathbf{Nr}_G2$) Addition is defined componentwise.

($\mathbf{Nr}_G3$) Multiplication is defined so that the U -th component of the product of two sequences $\mathbf{x} = (x_V)'_{V \leq G}$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_W)'_{W \leq G}$ is given by

$$(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})_U = \left(\sum'_{V, W} \sum_{\substack{VgW \subseteq G \\ Z(g, V, W)}} \Psi^{(V:Z(g, V, W))}(b_V) \Psi^{(W:Z(g, V, W))}(c_W) \right),$$

where the sum is over

$$Z(g, V, W) := V \cap gWg^{-1}$$

which are conjugate to U in G , and Ψ^n , $n \geq 1$ represents the n -th Adams operation.

In proving that $W_G(R)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Nr}_G(R)$ two families of maps play a crucial role. One is *exponential* maps $\tau^U(R)$ and the other is inductions $\text{Ind}_U^G(R)$, where U is any open subgroup of G .

Caution. In [10, 11] the notation τ_R^U has been used instead of $\tau^U(R)$. However, in this paper, the notation τ_R^U will denote the map τ_R in [10, 11].

In detail, the map $\tau^U(R) : R \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}_U(R)$ is defined by

$$r \mapsto (\mathbf{M}_U(r, V))'_{V \leq U}.$$

Recall that for any profinite group G exponential maps are given by

$$\tau^G(R)(r) = \sum'_{V \leq G} \mathbf{M}_G(r, V) [G/V].$$

Here the coefficients $\mathbf{M}_G(r, V)$ are determined in the following way. First we write r as a sum of one-dimensional elements, say, $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_m$. Now, consider the set of continuous maps from G to the topological space $\mathfrak{r} := \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$ with regard to the discrete topology with trivial G -action. It is well-known that this set becomes a G -space with regard to the compact-open topology via the following standard G -action

$$(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1} \cdot x).$$

Consider a disjoint union of G -orbits of this set, say, $\dot{\bigcup}_h G \cdot h$, where h runs through a system of representatives of this decomposition. After writing $G/G_h = \dot{\bigcup}_{1 \leq i \leq (G:G_h)} w_i G_h$, where G_h represents the isotropy subgroup of h , we let

$$[h] := \prod_{i=1}^{(G:G_h)} h(w_i).$$

Clearly this is well-defined since h is G_h -invariant. With this notation, $\mathbf{M}_G(r, V)$ is given by $\sum_h [h]$, where h is taken over the representatives such that Gh is isomorphic to G/V . Note that the Gh is isomorphic to G/V if and only if G_h is conjugate to V . Indeed G acts on h freely modulo G_h , that is, $w_i \cdot h = w_j \cdot h \Rightarrow i = j$. For example, if $G = \hat{C}$,

$$\mathbf{M}(r, n) := \mathbf{M}_{\hat{C}}(r, \hat{C}^n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \Psi^d(r)^{\frac{n}{d}}, \quad n \geq 1$$

(see [11]). The notation μ represents the classical Möbius inversion function. On the other hand, inductions $\text{Ind}_U^G(R) : \mathbf{Nr}_U(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}_G(R)$ are defined by

$$(x_V)'_{V \leq U} \mapsto (y_W)'_{W \leq G},$$

where y_W is the sum of x_V 's such that V is conjugate to W in G . It is clear that $\text{Ind}_U^G(R)$ is additive.

Proposition 2.1. (Oh [10, 11]) *For every open subgroup U of G and every special λ -ring R , $\tau^U(R)$ is multiplicative.*

Using inductions $\text{Ind}_U^G(R)$ and exponential maps $\tau^U(R)$ for all open subgroups of G simultaneously, the author constructed a map, called *R-Teichmüller map*, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_R^G : W_G(R) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}_G(R) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \sum'_U \text{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \tau^U(R)(\alpha(U)). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2. (Oh, Theorem 3.3 [11]) *For every special λ -ring R , τ_R^G is a ring isomorphism. Moreover the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_G(R) & \xrightarrow{\tau_R^G} & \mathbf{Nr}_G(R) \\ & \searrow \Phi_R \quad \swarrow \tilde{\varphi}_R & \\ & R^{\mathcal{O}(G)} & \end{array} \quad \curvearrowright$$

(2.1)

is commutative. Here

$$\Phi_R = \prod'_{U \leq G, \text{ open}} \phi_U^R$$

and

$$\tilde{\varphi}_R = \prod'_{U \leq G, \text{ open}} \tilde{\varphi}_U^R,$$

where the map $\tilde{\varphi}_U^R : \mathbf{Nr}_G(R) \rightarrow R$ is given by

$$(x_V)'_V \mapsto \sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \varphi_U(G/V) \Psi^{(V:U)}(x_V).$$

We close this section by providing examples associated with two interesting special λ -rings.

Example 2.3. (a) Letting $R := \mathbb{C}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$, R has a special λ -ring structure associated with the Adams operators $\Psi^n(a_m) := a_{nm}$, $m, n \geq 1$. Let G be the profinite completion $\hat{C}$ of the multiplicative infinite cyclic group C . Then, for $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_1^2, a_1^3, \dots)$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (a_1, 0, 0, \dots) & \xrightarrow{\tau_R^{\hat{C}}} & \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) a_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ & \searrow \Phi_R \quad \swarrow \tilde{\varphi}_R & \\ & (a_1, a_1^2, a_1^3, \dots) & \end{array} \quad \curvearrowright$$

(2.2)

Here the term

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) a_d^{\frac{n}{d}}$$

can be found as the cyclic index $Z_{C_l}(\alpha_l)$, where C_l is the subgroup of the symmetric group S_l generated by $(1, 2, \dots, l)$ and α_l is the linear character defined by $\alpha_l(1, 2, \dots, l) = e^{2\pi i/l}$ (see [6]).

(b) Letting $R = \mathbb{C}[[z]]$, R has a special λ -ring structure associated with the Adams operators $\Psi^n(z) := z^n$, $n \geq 0$. Then, for a formal power series $f(z) \in R$, we have

$$\mathbf{M}(f(z), n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(z^d)^{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

The coefficients $m_f(i, n)$ defined by $\mathbf{M}(f(z), n) =: \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m_f(i, n) z^i$ have many interesting properties (see [9]), and many of which can be easily proved under our λ -ring notation (see [Section 3 [11]]).

3. GENERALIZED BURNSIDE-GROTHENDIECK RING FUNCTOR Δ_G

In this section, given any profinite group G , we shall introduce a covariant functor Δ_G from the category of commutative rings to itself. Indeed, it will turn out to be equivalent with the functor W_G in [2]. To do this we introduce a functor $\mathbf{NR}_G$ from the category of commutative rings to itself. Let x_U and y_U be indeterminates where U ranges over every open subgroup of G . We consider the following system of equations: for every open subgroup U of G it holds that

$$\sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \varphi_U(G/V) s_V = \sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \varphi_U(G/V) (x_V + y_V),$$

and

$$\sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \varphi_U(G/V) p_V = \sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \varphi_U(G/V) x_V \cdot \sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \varphi_U(G/V) y_V.$$

It is clear that $s_G = x_G + y_G$ and $p_G = x_G \cdot y_G$. Solving s_U and p_U inductively, one can show that for every open subgroup U of G ,

$$\begin{cases} s_U = x_U + y_U \\ p_U = \sum'_{V, W} \sum_g x_V \cdot y_W, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where g runs through a system of representations of the double cosets $VgW \subseteq G$ such that $Z(g, V, W)$ is conjugate to U in G . Indeed this observation enables us to state the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Associated with every profinite group G there exists a unique functor $\mathbf{NR}_G$ from the category of commutative rings with identity into itself satisfying the following conditions :*

(NR_G1) *As a set*

$$\mathbf{NR}_G(R) = \prod'_{U \leq G, \text{ open}} R.$$

(NR_G2) *For every ring homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ and every $\mathbf{x} = (x_U)'_{U \leq G} \in \mathbf{NR}_G(A)$ one has $\mathbf{NR}_G(h)(\mathbf{x}) = (f(x_U))'_{U \leq G}$.*

(NR_G3) *For every ring R the map*

$$\tilde{\varphi}_R = \prod'_{U \leq G, \text{ open}} \tilde{\varphi}_U^R,$$

where the map $\tilde{\varphi}_U^R : \mathbf{NR}_G(R) \rightarrow R$ is given by

$$(x_V)'_V \mapsto \sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \varphi_U(G/V) x_V,$$

is a ring homomorphism.

Eq. (3.1) says that addition of $\mathbf{NR}_G(R)$ is defined componentwise and its multiplication is given by as follows: for two sequences $\mathbf{x} = (x_V)'_V$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_W)'_W$ the U -th component of the product is given by

$$(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})_U := \sum'_{V, W} p_V^W(U) x_V \cdot y_W,$$

where $p_V^W(U)$ represents the number of VgW 's in a system of representations of the double cosets $VgW \subseteq G$ such that $Z(g, V, W)$ is conjugate to U in G .

Remark.

(a) Since $R \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, R has a binomial ring structure (see [[10], Corollary 2.2]). Indeed, $\mathbf{NR}_G(R)$ is the necklace ring $\mathbf{NR}_G(R)$ of G over R associated with this λ -ring structure.

(b) If R contains $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring then $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ is an isomorphism, and if R is a torsion-free ring which does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring then it is just injective. However, $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ is neither injective nor surjective in general.

Let us investigate $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ (in the above theorem) in more detail. Since it is R -linear, it can be expressed as a matrix form. Let P be a partially ordered set consisting of (representatives of conjugacy classes of) open subgroups of G with the partial order $\preccurlyeq$ such that

$$V \preccurlyeq W \Leftrightarrow W \lesssim V.$$

Consider (and fix) an enumeration $\{V_i : 1 \leq i, V_i \in P\}$ of P satisfying the condition

$$V_i \preccurlyeq V_j \implies i \leq j.$$

For this enumeration we define the matrix ζ_P by

$$\zeta_P(V, W) := \varphi_W(G/V).$$

Using the fact that $\varphi_W(G/V) = 0$ unless $V \preccurlyeq W$ we know that ζ_P is a upper-triangular matrix with the diagonal elements $(N_G(V_i) : V_i)$, the index of V_i in $N_G(V_i)$. With this notation

$$\tilde{\varphi}_R(\mathbf{x}) = \zeta_P^t \mathbf{x}, \text{ where } \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{V_1} \\ x_{V_2} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

As a easy consequences of this expression we obtain that $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ is invertible if and only if for every $U \in P$ the index $(N_G(U) : U)$ is a unit. When invertible, the inverse of $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ can be described as follows: First, let $P(U)$ be a subset of P consisting of open subgroups V of G such that $V \preccurlyeq U$. Now we set

$$\mu_{P(U)} = \zeta_{P(U)}^{-1},$$

where $\zeta_{P(U)}$ is the matrix obtained from ζ_P by restricting the index to $P(U)$. Then, for $\mathbf{a} \in R^{\underline{\mathcal{O}(G)}}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{a})_G \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{a})_U \end{pmatrix} = \mu_{P(U)}^t \begin{pmatrix} a_G \\ \vdots \\ a_U \end{pmatrix}$$

That is,

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{a})_U = \sum_{V \preccurlyeq U} \mu_{P(U)}(V, U) a_V. \quad (3.3)$$

If G is abelian and R is torsion-free, the inverse of $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ gets much simpler. For an open subgroup U of G we let $P(U)$ be a partially ordered set consisting of open subgroups of G containing U with the partial order $\preccurlyeq$ such that

$$V \preccurlyeq W \Leftrightarrow W \leq V.$$

Applying Möbius inversion formula for arbitrary posets yields that

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{a})_U = \frac{1}{(G : U)} \sum_{V \preceq U} \mu_{P(U)}^{\text{ab}}(V, U) a_V$$

for $\mathbf{a} = (a_U)_{U \preceq G} \in R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$ if $\mathbf{a}$ belongs to the image of $\tilde{\varphi}$. Here $\mu_{P(U)}^{\text{ab}}$ is given by the inverse of the matrix $\zeta_{P(U)}^{\text{ab}}$ given by

$$\zeta_{P(U)}^{\text{ab}}(V, W) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } V \preceq W, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let R contain $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring. Then Theorem 3.1 implies that for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{ab})_U = \sum_{\substack{W, W' \preceq G \\ W \cap W' = U}} p_W^{W'}(U) \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{a})_W \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{b})_{W'}. \quad (3.4)$$

Especially the identity (3.4) comes to us as an interesting and simple formula when applied to the group $\hat{C}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be a commutative ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring. Then for $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}, \mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \geq 1} \in R^{\mathbb{N}}$ the following equation holds :*

$$\tilde{M}(\mathbf{ab}, n) = \sum_{[i, j] = n} (i, i) \tilde{M}(\mathbf{a}, i) \tilde{M}(\mathbf{b}, j),$$

where

$$\tilde{M}(\mathbf{a}, n) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) a_n.$$

We now shall describe $\Delta_G(R)$ for a commutative ring R .

Case 1 Suppose that R contains $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. We define $\Delta_G(R)$ by $\mathbf{NR}_G(R)$. Proposition 2.2 implies that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_R^G : W_G(R) &\rightarrow \Delta_G(R) \\ \alpha &\mapsto \sum'_{U \preceq G} \text{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \tau^U(R)(\alpha(U)), \end{aligned}$$

is a ring isomorphism. Here, for any profinite group G the map $\tau^G(R) : R \rightarrow \Delta_G(R)$ is defined by

$$r \mapsto (M_G(r, U))'_{U \preceq G},$$

where $M_G(r, U)$ is given by $\mathbf{M}_G(r, U)$ associated with the binomial ring structure of R (see [11]). More explicitly, by Eq. (3.3) and Proposition 2.2 it can be written as

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{a})_U = \sum_{V \preceq U} \mu_{P(U)}(V, U) r^{(G:V)}.$$

For example, if $G = \hat{C}$,

$$M(r, n) := M_{\hat{C}}(r, \hat{C}^n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) r^{\frac{n}{d}}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

We can also obtain inductions and $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ as in the previous section.

Case 2 Suppose that R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, but it is torsion-free. We denote by $R\mathbb{Q}$ the rationalization of R , i.e., $R\mathbb{Q} := R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Under the natural embedding of R into $R\mathbb{Q}$ we obtain a map

$$\tau_U(R\mathbb{Q})|_R : R \rightarrow \Delta_G(R\mathbb{Q}).$$

By Proposition 2.1 this map is multiplicative. Combining $\tau_U(R\mathbb{Q})|_R$ with the induction map

$$\text{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q}) : \Delta_U(R\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \Delta_G(R\mathbb{Q}),$$

we obtain a bijective map

$$\tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G|_{W_G(R)} : W_G(R) \rightarrow \text{Im}(\tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G|_{W_G(R)})$$

defined by

$$\sum'_{U \leq G} \text{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q}) \circ \tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^U|_R.$$

To be consistent with the notation in [12] we shall adopt the following notation

$$\Delta_G(R) := \left\{ \sum'_U \text{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q}) \circ \tau^U(R\mathbb{Q})|_R(\alpha(U)) : \alpha \in W_G(R) \right\} \subset \Delta_G(R\mathbb{Q}).$$

From that $\tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G$ is a ring isomorphism and

$$\Delta_G(R) = \tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G(W_G(R))$$

it follows the following result.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, but it is torsion-free. Then $\Delta_G(R)$ is a subring of $\Delta_G(R\mathbb{Q})$, and moreover it is isomorphic to $W_G(R)$.*

Remark. Very often it is very important to know how $\Delta_G(R)$ looks explicitly. Fortunately this question can be easily answered in some cases. More precisely, from the argument in [Section 2, [11]] it follows that if R is a torsion-free commutative ring with unity such that $a^p = a \bmod pR$ if p is a prime then R has a unique special λ -ring structure with $\Psi^n = id$ for all $n \geq 1$. Hence, in this case, Proposition 2.2 implies that

$$\Delta_G(R) = \mathbf{Nr}_G(R). \quad (3.5)$$

For example, $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{(r)}$ enjoys this property, where $\mathbb{Z}_{(r)}$ means the ring of integers localized at r , that is, $\{m/n \in \mathbb{Q} : (n, r) = 1\}$.

Next let us discuss exponential maps and inductions.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, but it is torsion-free. Then*

- (a) $\text{Im}(\tau^U(R\mathbb{Q})|_R) \subset \Delta_U(R)$.
- (b) $\text{Im}(\text{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q})|_{\Delta_U(R)}) \subset \Delta_G(R)$.

Proof. (a) is trivial by definition of $\Delta_U(R)$.

- (b) By [Section 3.2, [11]], for $\mathbf{a} = (a_W)'_{W \leq U} \in W_U(R\mathbb{Q})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G)^{-1} \circ \text{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q}) \circ \tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^U(\mathbf{a}) \\ &= (p_V)'_{V \leq G}, \end{aligned}$$

where p_V is a polynomial with integral coefficients in those a_W (W an open subgroup U to which W is sub-conjugate in G). In view of the definition of $\Delta_G(R)$, this implies that if $\mathbf{a} = (a_W)'_{W \leq U} \in W_U(R)$ then $\tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G((p_V)'_{V \leq G})$ should be in $\Delta_G(R)$. Thus we complete the proof. $\square$

By virtue of Lemma 3.4 we are able to get the following maps

$$\tau^U(R) : R \rightarrow \Delta_U(R) \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\text{Ind}_U^G(R) : \Delta_U(R) \rightarrow \Delta_G(R)$$

from the map $\tau^U(R\mathbb{Q})|_R$ and $\text{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q})|_{\Delta_U(R)}$.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that R is torsion-free. In $R\mathbb{Q}$, for an open subgroup V of a profinite group G , and $r, s \in R$, we have*

$$M_G(rs, V) = \sum'_{W, W'} p_W^{W'}(V) M_G(r, W) M_G(s, W'). \quad (3.7)$$

If G is abelian, the formula (3.7) reduces to

$$M_G(rs, V) = \sum_{\substack{W, W' \\ W \cap W' = V}} (G : W + W') M_G(r, W) M_G(s, W'). \quad (3.8)$$

In particular, if $G = \hat{C}$, for every positive integer n and $r, s \in R$, we have

$$M(rs, n) = \sum_{[i, j] = n} (i, j) M(r, i) M(s, j), \quad (3.9)$$

where $[i, j]$ is the least common multiple and (i, j) the greatest common divisor of i and j .

Proof. From the multiplicativity of $\tau^G(R)$ formula (3.7) follows. In case G is abelian, the number of all elements in a system of representations of the double cosets $WgW' \subseteq G$ where is given by $(G : W + W')$. Thus we have formula (3.8). Finally, if $G = \hat{C}$,

$$i\mathbb{Z} \cap j\mathbb{Z} = [i, j]\mathbb{Z}, \quad i\mathbb{Z} + j\mathbb{Z} = (i, j)\mathbb{Z}.$$

This yields formula (3.9). $\square$

Setting τ_R^G by

$$\sum'_{U \leq G} \text{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \tau^U(R) : W_G(R) \rightarrow \Delta_G(R), \quad (3.10)$$

clearly it is a ring isomorphism.

Finally, from $\tilde{\varphi}_{R\mathbb{Q}}|_{\Delta_G(R)}$ let us obtain the following map

$$\tilde{\varphi}_R : \Delta_G(R) \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}.$$

Indeed this map is well-defined since $\text{Im}(\tilde{\varphi}_{R\mathbb{Q}}|_{\Delta_G(R)}) \subset R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$, which follows from the fact

$$\text{Im}\Phi_R = \text{Im}\Phi_{R\mathbb{Q}}|_{W_G(R)} \text{ and } \Phi_{R\mathbb{Q}} = \tilde{\varphi}_{R\mathbb{Q}} \circ \tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G.$$

Consequently we arrive at the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
W_G(R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tau_R^G} & \Delta_G(R) \subset \Delta_G(R\mathbb{Q}) \\
\Phi_R \searrow & \curvearrowright & \tilde{\varphi}_R \nearrow \\
& & R^{\mathcal{O}(G)} \subset R\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{O}(G)} \\
& & \tilde{\varphi}_{R\mathbb{Q}} \nearrow
\end{array}$$

Note that $\Phi_{R\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{\varphi}_{R\mathbb{Q}}$ are ring-isomorphisms, whereas $\Phi_R, \tilde{\varphi}_R$ are injections but not surjections in general.

Case 3 Finally we suppose that R is not torsion-free. In this case we start by choosing a surjective ring homomorphism $\rho : R' \rightarrow R$ from a torsion free ring R' . For example, we may take $R' = \mathbb{Z}[R]$ and $\rho : \mathbb{Z}[R] \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$\sum n_r \cdot e_r \mapsto \sum n_r r, \quad (3.11)$$

where e_r is the basis element of $\mathbb{Z}[R]$ corresponding to $r \in R$. By the functoriality of W_G there exists a surjective ring homomorphism $W_G(\rho) : W_G(R') \rightarrow W_G(R)$ defined by

$$(a_U)'_{U \leq G} \mapsto (\rho(a_U))'_{U \leq G}.$$

Thus it holds

$$\ker W_G(\rho) = W_G(\ker \rho),$$

which implies that the induced map

$$\bar{\tau}_{R'}^G : W_G(R')/W_G(\ker \rho) \rightarrow \Delta_G(R')/\Delta_G(\ker \rho)$$

from $\tau_{R'}^G$ is an ring isomorphism. Set

$$\Delta_G(R) := \Delta_G(R')/\Delta_G(\ker \rho)$$

and

$$\tau_R^G := \bar{\tau}_{R'}^G.$$

By definition, $\Delta_G(R)$ and τ_R^G are well-defined, i.e., they are independent of the choices of (R', ρ) (up to isomorphism).

Let us define exponential maps and inductions. For an open subgroup U of G it is clear that the induced map

$$\bar{\tau}^U(R') : R'/\ker \rho \rightarrow \Delta_U(R')/\Delta_U(\ker \rho)$$

from the map $\tau^U(R')$ is well-defined since $\tau^U(R')(\ker \rho) \subset \Delta_U(\ker \rho)$. Setting $\tau^U(R)$ to be $\bar{\tau}^U(R')$, $\tau^U(R)$ can be regarded as a map from R to $\Delta_U(R)$. In the same manner we can get inductions

$$\text{Ind}_U^G(R) : \Delta_U(R) \rightarrow \Delta_G(R).$$

By construction $\tau^U(R)$ is multiplicative, Ind_U^G is additive, and

$$\tau_R^G = \sum'_{U \leq G} \text{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \tau^U(R).$$

We can also define a map

$$\tilde{\varphi}_R : \Delta_G(R')/\Delta_G(\ker \rho) \rightarrow R'^{\mathcal{O}(G)}/(\ker \rho)^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$$

from $\tilde{\varphi}_{R'} : \Delta_G(R') \rightarrow R'^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$. Regarding $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ as a map from $\Delta_G(R)$ to $R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$, clearly the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_G(R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tau_R^G} & \Delta_G(R) \\ & \searrow \Phi_R \quad \swarrow \tilde{\varphi}_R & \\ & R^{\mathcal{O}(G)} & \end{array} \quad (3.12)$$

is commutative. Be careful that in this case Φ_R and $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ is neither injective nor surjective in general.

Until now we have described $\Delta_G(R)$ for a given commutative ring R . We shall now describe morphisms. Given a ring homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, we can define a natural ring homomorphism

$$\Delta_G(f) : \Delta_G(A) \rightarrow \Delta_G(B)$$

via τ_R^G , i.e., by

$$\sum'_{U \leq G} \text{Ind}_U^G(A) \circ \tau^U(A)(x_U) \mapsto \sum'_{U \leq G} \text{Ind}_U^G(B) \circ \tau^U(B)(f(x_U)) \quad (3.13)$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (x_U)'_{U \leq G} \in W_G(A)$. Actually if A and B are torsion free, then

$$\Delta_G(f)(\mathbf{x}) = (f(x_U))'_{U \leq G}, \quad (3.14)$$

which can be verified as follows: First recall in [11] that

$$\tau_R^G(\alpha) = \left(\sum'_{U \leq GV \leq U} \sum' M_U(\alpha(U), V) \right)'_{W \leq G}, \quad (3.15)$$

where V ranges over open subgroups which are conjugate to W in G . Applying the definition (3.14), we have

$$f \left(\sum'_{U \leq GV \leq U} \sum' M_U(\alpha(U), V) \right) = \sum'_{U \leq GV \leq U} \sum' M_U(f(\alpha(U)), V)$$

since f can be viewed as a ring homomorphism from $A\mathbb{Q}$ to $B\mathbb{Q}$. This says that the definition (3.13) coincides with the definition (3.14). If A or B is not torsion free, choose surjective homomorphisms $\rho : A' \rightarrow A$ and $\rho' : B' \rightarrow B$ for torsion free rings A' and B' respectively. With this situation we have

Lemma 3.6. *For $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_G(A')$*

$$\Delta_G(f)(\mathbf{x} + \Delta_G(\ker \rho)) = \mathbf{y} + \Delta_G(\ker \rho'), \quad (3.16)$$

where $\mathbf{y} \in \Delta_G(B')$ is any element satisfying

$$f(x_V + \ker \rho) = y_V + \ker \rho'$$

for every open subgroup V of G .

Proof. Write

$$\mathbf{x} + \Delta_G(\ker \rho) = \tau_{A'}^G(\mathbf{z}) + \Delta_G(\ker \rho)$$

for some $\mathbf{z} = (z_U)'_U \in W_G(A')$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{B'}^G \circ W_G(f)((z_U + \ker \rho)_{U \leq G}) \\ &= \tau_{B'}^G((f(z_U + \ker \rho))_{U \leq G}) \\ &= \tau_{B'}^G(\mathbf{z}') + \Delta_G(\ker \rho'), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{z}' = (z'_U)'_U \in W_G(B')$ is any element satisfying

$$\mathbf{z}' + \Delta_G(\ker \rho) = (f(z_V + \ker \rho))_{V \leq G}.$$

So we may take

$$y_V = \sum'_{U \leq GV' \leq U} \sum' M_U(z'_U, V'),$$

for every open subgroup V of G . Here V' ranges over open subgroups which are conjugate to V in G . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_V + \ker \rho) &= f\left(\sum'_{U \leq GV' \leq U} \sum' M_U(z_U, V') + \ker \rho\right) \\ &= \sum'_{U \leq GV' \leq U} \sum' M_U(f(z_U + \ker \rho), V') \\ &= \sum'_{U \leq GV' \leq U} \sum' M_U(z'_U + \ker \rho, V') \\ &= \sum'_{U \leq GV' \leq U} \sum' M_U(z'_U, V') + \ker \rho \\ &= y_V + \ker \rho. \end{aligned}$$

□

By definition of $\Delta_G(f)$, the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 3.7. $\Delta_G(f) = \tau_B^G \circ W_G(f) \circ \tau_A^{G^{-1}}$.

As a result, we get the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_G(A) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tau_A^G} & \Delta_G(A) \\ W_G(f) \downarrow & & \Delta_G(f) \downarrow \\ W_G(B) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tau_B^G} & \Delta_G(B) \end{array} \quad (3.17)$$

which implies that

Theorem 3.8. *The functor Δ_G is equivalent to the functor W_G .*

Remark. In [1] Brun gave a new realization of $W_G(R)$ for arbitrary commutative rings with identity and every finite group using the theory of G -Tambara functors. Briefly speaking it says that the functor W_G coincides with the left adjoint of the algebraic functor from the category of G -Tambara functors to the category

of commutative rings with an action of G . For this purpose he introduced the isomorphism $t_G^R : W_G(R) \rightarrow L_G R(G/G)$ given by

$$(x_U)'_{U \leq G} \mapsto \sum'_{U \leq G} L_G R_+(\pi_U^G) \circ L_G R_\bullet(\pi_e^U)(x_U).$$

Following the exactly same way as used in [[10], Section 4], we can show that essentially the maps $\tau_R^G, \tau^U(R), \text{Ind}_U^G$ in our notation coincide with the maps

$$t_G^R, L_G R_\bullet(\pi_e^U), L_G R_+(\pi_U^G)$$

respectively (in Brun's notation).

4. APERIODIC RING FUNCTOR $\mathcal{AP}_G$

Let G be any profinite group. In this section we shall construct another covariant functor $\mathcal{AP}_G$, which also will turn out to be equivalent to W_G . Given a commutative ring R with identity, we call $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ the *aperiodic ring of G over R* . As in the previous section we shall define $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ differently according to the three cases.

Case 1 Suppose that R is an arbitrary commutative ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. In this case $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ is defined as follows:

($\mathcal{AP}_G1$) As a set, it is

$$\prod'_{U \leq G, \text{ open}} R.$$

($\mathcal{AP}_G2$) Addition is defined componentwise.

($\mathcal{AP}_G3$) Multiplication is defined so that for $\mathbf{x} = (x_V)'_V$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_W)'_W$ in $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$, the U -th component of $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ is given by

$$(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})_U := \sum'_{V, W \leq G} \sum_{\substack{V, gW \subseteq G \\ Z(g, V, W)}} c_U^V(g) x_V y_W, \quad (4.1)$$

where g runs through a system of representations of the double cosets $UgV \subseteq G$, and $Z(g, V, W)$ runs over G -conjugates to U , and the coefficient $c_U^V(g)$ is given by

$$c_U^V(g) = \frac{(G : Z(g, U, V))}{(G : U)(G : V)}.$$

Remark. Let G be an abelian profinite group. Then the condition that R contains $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring is redundant since in the multiplication (4.1)

$$\sum_{\substack{V, gW \subseteq G \\ Z(g, V, W)}} c_U^V(g) = \frac{(G : U \cap V)(G : U + V)}{(G : U)(G : V)} = 1. \quad (4.2)$$

For example, let $G = \hat{C}$. Then the multiplication of $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ is given by

$$(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b})_n = \sum_{[i, j]=n} a_i b_j \text{ for } \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{AP}_G(R).$$

Therefore we can form a ring satisfying the above three conditions for every commutative ring. Let us denote by $\mathbf{Ap}_G(R)$ the ring obtained from R in this way. But in general this is impossible since coefficients appearing in (4.1) do not always have integral values.

Rather than verify that $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ is indeed a commutative ring directly, we shall prove it indirectly. To do this, for every conjugacy class of open subgroups U of G , let us define a map $\varphi_U^R : \mathcal{AP}_G(R) \rightarrow R$ by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \frac{1}{(G : V)} \varphi_U(G/V) x_V$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (x_U)'_U \in \mathcal{AP}_G(R)$. Now we set

$$\varphi_R = \prod'_U \varphi_U^R : \Delta_G(R) \rightarrow R^{\underline{\mathcal{O}(G)}}$$

by $\varphi_R(\mathbf{x})(U) = \varphi_U^R(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_G(R)$. Note that if G is abelian, then

$$\varphi_R(\mathbf{x})(U) = \sum_{U \leq V \leq G} x_V \quad (4.3)$$

since $(G : V) = \varphi_U(G/V)$.

Proposition 4.1. (cf. Varadarajan and Wehrhahn [12]) *For every commutative ring R containing $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, we have*

- (a) φ_R is an isomorphism of the additive group $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ onto $R^{\underline{\mathcal{O}(G)}}$.
- (b) For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{AP}_G(R)$,

$$\varphi_R(\mathbf{xy}) = \varphi_R(\mathbf{x})\varphi_R(\mathbf{y}).$$

Proof. (a) It is clear that φ_R is a homomorphism of additive groups. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_U)'_U \in \mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ satisfy $\varphi_R(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero element of $R^{\underline{\mathcal{O}(G)}}$. Then for every conjugacy class of open subgroups U of G , $\varphi_U^R(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. If $U = G$, then $x_G = 0$. Now assume that $x_V = 0$ for all V such that $(G : V) < (G : U)$. Then, from

$$\sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \frac{1}{(G : V)} \varphi_U(G/V) x_V = 0$$

we can get $x_U = 0$. Thus $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{AP}_G(R)$, and which says that $\ker \varphi_R = 0$.

Next we will show that φ_R is surjective. For any $\mathbf{a} = (a_U)'_U \in R^{\underline{\mathcal{O}(G)}}$ we want to find an element $\mathbf{x} = (x_U)'_U \in \mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ satisfying

$$\sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \frac{1}{(G : V)} \varphi_U(G/V) x_V = a_U$$

for every conjugacy class of open subgroups U of G . If $U = G$, then $x_G = a_G$. Let us use mathematical induction on the index. Assume that we have found x_V for all V 's such that $(G : V) < (G : U)$. Then, since

$$\frac{1}{(G : U)} \varphi_U(G/U) x_U = a_U - \sum'_{\substack{U \lesssim V \leq G \\ U \neq V}} \frac{1}{(G : V)} \varphi_U(G/V) x_V,$$

x_U is determined by the assumption. This completes the proof of (a).

(b) For any $\mathbf{x} = (x_U)'_U$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_V)'_V \in \mathcal{AP}_G(R)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_U^R(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \varphi_U^R(\mathbf{y}) &= \sum'_{U \lesssim V \leq G} \frac{1}{(G:V)} \varphi_U(G/V) x_V \cdot \sum'_{U \lesssim W \leq G} \frac{1}{(G:W)} \varphi_U(G/W) y_W \\ &= \sum'_{\substack{U \lesssim V \leq G \\ U \lesssim W \leq G}} \frac{x_V y_W}{(G:V)(G:W)} \varphi_U(G/V) \varphi_U(G/W). \end{aligned}$$

Let $e_U \in R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$ be the element given by $e_U = (\delta_{U,V})'_{V \leq G}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_U^R(\mathbf{xy}) &= \varphi_U^R \left(\sum'_{V,W} \sum_{VgW \subseteq G} c_U^V(g) x_V y_W e_{Z(g,V,W)} \right) \\ &= \sum'_{V,W} \sum_{\substack{VgW \subseteq G \\ U \lesssim Z(g,V,W)}} c_U^V(g) x_V y_W \varphi_U^R(e_{Z(g,V,W)}) \\ &= \sum'_{V,W} x_V y_W \sum_{\substack{VgW \subseteq G \\ U \lesssim Z(g,V,W)}} \frac{c_U^V(g)}{(G:Z(g,V,W))} \varphi_U(G/Z(g,V,W)) \\ &= \sum'_{V,W} \frac{x_V y_W}{(G:V)(G:W)} \sum_{\substack{VgW \subseteq G \\ U \lesssim Z(g,V,W)}} \varphi_U(G/Z(g,V,W)). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the fact that $\tilde{\varphi}_U^R$ is a ring homomorphism it is immediate that

$$\varphi_U^R(\mathbf{xy}) = \varphi_U^R(\mathbf{x}) \varphi_U^R(\mathbf{y}).$$

□

As easy but very important results of Proposition 4.1 we obtain the following two corollaries.

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that R is an arbitrary commutative ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. Then $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ is a commutative ring.*

Corollary 4.3. *If G is abelian, then for every commutative ring R ,*

$$\varphi_R : \mathbf{Ap}_G(R) \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$$

is a ring isomorphism (see the remark containing the identity (4.2)).

Proof. Recall that if G is abelian, by the equation (4.3) and the remark containing the identity (4.2), we can define $\mathbf{Ap}_G(R)$ and φ_R for any commutative ring R . Moreover, in the proof of Proposition 4.1, if we use the identity (4.3), then no non-integer coefficients appear. This means that Proposition 4.1 holds for $\mathbf{Ap}_G(R)$ for every commutative ring R . □

As $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}$ does, $\varphi_R^{-1} : R^{\mathcal{O}(G)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ap}_G(R)$ has a very simple form if G is abelian. In detail,

$$\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{a})_U = \sum_{V \preceq U} \mu_{P(U)}^{\text{ab}}(V, U) a_V$$

for $\mathbf{a} = (a_U)_{U \leq G} \in R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$. Actually this observation provides us many interesting relations among a_U, b_V 's when applied to the identity

$$\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{ab})_U = \sum_{\substack{W, W' \leq G \\ W \cap W' = U}} \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{a})_W \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{b})_{W'} \quad (4.4)$$

for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$. For example, taking $G = \hat{C}$, we can get an analogue of Proposition 3.2

Proposition 4.4. *For every commutative ring R , we have the formula*

$$\tilde{S}(\mathbf{ab}, n) = \sum_{[i, j] = n} \tilde{S}(\mathbf{a}, i) \tilde{S}(\mathbf{b}, j),$$

where $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}, \mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \geq 1} \in R^{\mathbb{N}}$ and

$$\tilde{S}(\mathbf{a}, n) := \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) a_n.$$

To show that $\Delta_G(R)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ let us introduce a map

$$\theta_R^G : \Delta_G(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_G(R)$$

defined by

$$(x_U)'_{U \leq G} \mapsto ((G : U)x_U)'_{U \leq G}$$

for all $\mathbf{x} = (x_U)'_{U \leq G}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose that R is an arbitrary commutative ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. Then the map θ_R^G is a ring isomorphism. Moreover the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_G(R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta_R^G} & \mathcal{AP}_G(R) \\ & \searrow \tilde{\varphi}_R \quad \swarrow \varphi_R & \\ & R^{\mathcal{O}(G)} & \end{array} \quad (4.5)$$

is commutative.

Proof. Since $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ and φ_R are ring-isomorphisms, for our purpose it suffices to show

$$\tilde{\varphi}_R = \varphi_R \circ \theta_R^G.$$

For any $\mathbf{x} = (x_U)'_{U \leq G} \in \Delta_G(R)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_U^R \circ \theta_R^G(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum'_{U \leq V \leq G} \frac{1}{(G : V)} \varphi_U(G/V)(G : V)x_V \\ &= \sum'_{U \leq V \leq G} \varphi_U(G/V)x_V \\ &= \tilde{\varphi}_U^R(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

As $\Delta_G(R)$ does, $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ comes equipped with exponential maps and induction maps. First let us investigate exponential maps. An *exponential* map $\Upsilon^U(R) : R \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_U(R)$ is defined by

$$r \mapsto (S_U(r, V))'_{V \leq U},$$

where $S_U(r, V) := (U : V)M_U(r, V)$ for all open subgroups V of U .

Proposition 4.6. *Suppose that R is an arbitrary commutative ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. Then $\Upsilon^U(R)$ is multiplicative.*

Proof. Observe that

$$\Upsilon^U(R) = \theta_R^U \circ \tau^U(R). \quad (4.6)$$

So, the desired result follows from the fact that θ_R^U and $\tau^U(R)$ are multiplicative. $\square$

For every conjugacy class of open subgroups U of G inductions

$$\mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R) : \mathcal{AP}_U(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_G(R)$$

are defined by

$$(x_V)'_{V \leq U} \mapsto (y_W)'_{W \leq G},$$

where y_W is the sum of $(G : U)x_V$'s such that V is conjugate to W in G .

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that R is an arbitrary commutative ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. Then*

$$\theta_R^G \circ \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R) = \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \theta_R^U. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. For any $\mathbf{x} = (x_V)'_{V \leq U} \in \Delta_U(R)$,

$$\theta_R^G \circ \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R)(\mathbf{x}) = (y_W)'_{W \leq G},$$

where $y_W = \sum'_V (G : W)x_V$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \theta_R^U(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R) ((U : V)x_V)'_{V \leq U} \\ &= \left(\sum'_V (G : U)(U : V)x_V \right)'_{W \leq G} \\ &= \left(\sum'_V (G : W)x_V \right)'_{W \leq G} \\ &= (y_W)'_{W \leq G}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Composing τ_R^G with θ_R^G we get a ring homomorphism from $W_G(R)$ to $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$

$$\gamma_R^G := \theta_R^G \circ \tau_R^G.$$

Note that its explicit form is as follows.

Lemma 4.8.

$$\gamma_R^G = \sum'_{U \leq G} \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \Upsilon^U(R).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta_R^G \circ \sum'_{U \leq G} \text{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \tau^U(R) \\
&= \sum'_{U \leq G} \text{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \theta_R^U \circ \tau^U(R) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.7}) \\
&= \sum'_{U \leq G} \text{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \Upsilon^U(R) \quad (\text{by (4.6)}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Case 2 Suppose that R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, but it is torsion-free. In this case we define $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ as follows:

Using inductions $\text{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q})$ and exponential maps $\Upsilon^U(R\mathbb{Q})$ for all open subgroups U of G simultaneously, we define

$$\mathcal{AP}_G(R) := \left\{ \sum'_{U \leq G} \text{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q}) \circ \Upsilon^U(R\mathbb{Q})(\alpha(U)) : \alpha \in W_G(R) \right\} \subset \mathcal{AP}_G(R\mathbb{Q}).$$

From the fact that $\gamma_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G$ is a ring isomorphism and

$$\mathcal{AP}_G(R) = \gamma_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G(W_G(R))$$

it follows that

Proposition 4.9. $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ is a subring of $\mathcal{AP}_G(R\mathbb{Q})$.

As we did in the case 1, let us define

$$\theta_R^G : \Delta_G(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_G(R)$$

by

$$(a_U)'_{U \leq G} \mapsto ((G : U)a_U)'_{U \leq G}.$$

That is, $\theta_R^G = \theta_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G|_{\Delta_G(R)}$. In view of the equations (4.6) and (4.7), θ_R^G is clearly well-defined. That is,

$$\theta_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G(\Delta_G(R)) \subset \mathcal{AP}_G(R).$$

Proposition 4.10. *If R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, but it is torsion-free, the map θ_R^G is a ring isomorphism. Moreover the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Delta_G(R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta_R^G} & \mathcal{AP}_G(R) \subset \mathcal{AP}_G(R\mathbb{Q}) & & \\
& \searrow \tilde{\varphi}_R & \swarrow \varphi_{R\mathbb{Q}}|_{\mathcal{AP}_G(R)} & \nearrow \varphi_{R\mathbb{Q}} & \\
& & R^{\mathcal{O}(G)} \subset R\mathbb{Q}^{\mathcal{O}(G)} & &
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. In view of the definition of $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ it is clear that θ_R^G is a ring isomorphism. Moreover we already know that $\tilde{\varphi}_R$ comes from $\tilde{\varphi}_{R\mathbb{Q}}|_{\Delta_G(R)}$ and

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{R\mathbb{Q}} = \varphi_{R\mathbb{Q}} \circ \theta_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G$$

(by Proposition 4.5). Hence

$$\varphi_{R\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{AP}_G(R)) \subset R^{\mathcal{O}(G)},$$

and the commutativity is immediate. $\square$

Denote $\varphi_{R\mathbb{Q}}|_{\mathcal{AP}_G(R)}$ by $\varphi_R : \mathcal{AP}_G(R) \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$. Similarly define exponential maps and inductions by restriction:

$$\Upsilon^U(R) = \theta_R^U \circ \tau^U(R),$$

$$\mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R) : \mathcal{AP}_U(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_G(R).$$

Lemma 4.11. *Suppose that R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, but it is torsion-free. Then $\mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R)$ is well-defined, i.e.,*

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q})|_{\mathcal{AP}_G(R)}) \subset \mathcal{AP}_G(R).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q}) \circ \theta_{R\mathbb{Q}}^U(\Delta_U(R)) \\ &= \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q})(\mathcal{AP}_U(R)) \quad (\text{by Proposition 4.10}) \\ &= \theta_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G \circ \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q})(\Delta_U(R)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.7}) \\ &\subset \theta_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G(\Delta_G(R)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.4}) \\ &\subset \mathcal{AP}_G(R). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

From the multiplicativity of $\Upsilon^U(R)$ we have an analogue of Proposition 3.5.

Proposition 4.12. *Assume that R is torsion-free. In $R\mathbb{Q}$, for $r, s \in R$ and every open subgroup V of G , we have*

$$S_G(rs, V) = \sum'_{W, W'} \sum_{\substack{WgW' \subseteq G \\ Z(g, W, W')}} c_W^{W'}(g) S_G(r, W) S_G(s, W') \quad (4.8)$$

where the sum is over $Z(g, W, W')$'s which are conjugate to V . If G is abelian, then the identity (4.8) reduces to

$$S_G(rs, V) = \sum_{\substack{W, W' \leq G \\ W \cap W' = V}} S_G(r, W) S_G(s, W'). \quad (4.9)$$

In particular, if $G = \hat{C}$, then (4.9) reduces to the following simple form

$$S(rs, n) = \sum_{[i, j] = n} S(r, i) S(s, j) \quad (4.10)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Formula (4.8) follows from the multiplicativity of $\Upsilon^U(R)$. If G is abelian, by applying (4.2) to (4.8) we get formula (4.9). Finally formula (4.10) follows from the fact $i\mathbb{Z} \cap j\mathbb{Z} = [i, j]\mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

Case 3 Finally we suppose that R is not torsion-free. For a surjective ring homomorphism $\rho : R' \rightarrow R$ from a torsion free ring R' let us consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta_G(R') & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta_{R'}} & \mathcal{AP}_G(R') \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Delta_G(R) = \Delta_G(R')/\Delta_G(\ker \rho) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\bar{\theta}_{R'}^G} & \mathcal{AP}_G(R')/\mathcal{AP}_G(\ker \rho) .
\end{array}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{AP}_G(R) := \mathcal{AP}_G(R')/\mathcal{AP}_G(\ker \rho),$$

and

$$\theta_R^G := \bar{\theta}_{R'}^G.$$

Since $\Delta_G(R)$ is well defined, $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ is well defined, too. Finally let us discuss how to obtain exponential maps and inductions, and the map φ_R . One way to obtain exponential maps $\Upsilon^U(R)$ is to consider the map

$$\overline{\Upsilon_U^G(R')} : R'/\ker \rho \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_G(R')/\mathcal{AP}_G(\ker \rho),$$

and the other is to let

$$\Upsilon^U(R) := \theta_R^U \circ \tau^U(R). \quad (4.11)$$

Of course they coincide with each other. As for inductions $\mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R)$, the map $\mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R')$ induces the map

$$\overline{\mathbf{Ind}}_U^G(R') : \mathcal{AP}_U(R')/\mathcal{AP}_U(\ker \rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_G(R')/\mathcal{AP}_G(\ker \rho).$$

So, let

$$\mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R) := \overline{\mathbf{Ind}}_U^G(R').$$

As a final step, from the map $\varphi_{R'} : \mathcal{AP}_G(R') \rightarrow R'^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$, let us derive a map

$$\overline{\varphi_{R'}} : \mathcal{AP}_G(R)/\mathcal{AP}_G(\ker \rho) \rightarrow R'^{\mathcal{O}(G)}/(\ker \rho)^{\mathcal{O}(G)}.$$

Let $\varphi_R := \overline{\varphi_{R'}}$. Regarding φ_R as a map from $\mathcal{AP}_G(R)$ to $R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta_G(R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta_R^G} & \mathcal{AP}_G(R) \\
\searrow \tilde{\varphi}_R & \curvearrowright & \searrow \varphi_R \\
& & R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}
\end{array} \quad (4.12)$$

is commutative. Note that in this case, $\varphi_R, \tilde{\varphi}_R$ are neither injective nor surjective in general.

Lemma 4.13. *Let R be any commutative ring with identity. Then we have*

$$\theta_R^G \circ \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R) = \mathbf{Ind}_U^G \circ \theta_R^U \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\theta_R^G \circ \tau_R^G = \sum'_{U \leq G} \mathbf{Ind}_U^G \circ \Upsilon^U(R). \quad (4.14)$$

Proof. First, let us prove the identity (4.13). If R is torsion-free, the identity (4.7) justifies the desired result. Now assume that R is not torsion-free. Then, for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_V)'_{V \leq U} \in \Delta_U(R')$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta_R^G \circ \text{Ind}_U^G(R)(\mathbf{x} + \Delta_U(\ker \rho)) \\ &= \theta_R^G(\text{Ind}_U^G(R')(\mathbf{x}) + \Delta_G(\ker \rho)) \\ &= \theta_{R'}^G \circ \text{Ind}_U^G(R')(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{AP}_G(\ker \rho). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \theta_R^U(\mathbf{x} + \Delta_U(\ker \rho)) \\ &= \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R)(\theta_{R'}^U(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{AP}_U(\ker \rho)) \\ &= \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R') \circ \theta_{R'}^U(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{AP}_G(\ker \rho). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.7)

$$\theta_{R'}^G \circ \text{Ind}_U^G(R')(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(R') \circ \theta_{R'}^U(\mathbf{x}).$$

Thus we proved (4.13). On the other hand, (4.14) follows from equations (4.11) and (4.13). $\square$

Given a ring homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, we can define a ring homomorphism

$$\mathcal{AP}_G(f) : \mathcal{AP}_G(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_G(B)$$

via $\theta_R^G \circ \tau_R^G$, i.e., by

$$\sum'_{U \leq G} \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(A) \circ \Upsilon^U(A)(x_U) \mapsto \sum'_{U \leq G} \mathbf{Ind}_U^G(B) \circ \Upsilon^U(B)(f(x_U))$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (x_U)'_{U \leq G} \in W_G(R)$. As we show in Section 3, if A and B are torsion free, it holds that

$$\mathcal{AP}_G(f)(\mathbf{x}) = (f(x_U))'_{U \leq G}. \quad (4.15)$$

If A or B is not torsion free, choose surjective homomorphisms $\rho : A' \rightarrow A$ and $\rho' : B' \rightarrow B$ for torsion free rings A' and B' respectively. With this situation we can show, as we did in Lemma 3.6,

Lemma 4.14. *For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{AP}_G(A')$*

$$\mathcal{AP}_G(f)(\mathbf{x} + \mathcal{AP}_G(\ker \rho)) = \mathbf{y} + \mathcal{AP}_G(\ker \rho'), \quad (4.16)$$

where $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{AP}_G(B')$ is any element satisfying

$$f(x_V + \ker \rho) = y_V + \ker \rho'$$

for every open subgroup V of G .

By definition of $\Delta_G(f)$, the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 4.15. $\mathcal{AP}_G(f) = \theta_B^G \circ \Delta_G(f) \circ \theta_A^{G^{-1}}$.

In other words we have the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_G(A) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_G(f)} & \Delta_G(B) \\ \theta_A^G \downarrow & & \theta_B^G \downarrow \\ \mathcal{AP}_G(A) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{AP}_G(f)} & \mathcal{AP}_G(B) \end{array} \quad (4.17)$$

Let $\gamma_R^G := \theta_R^G \circ \tau_R^G$. Combining diagrams (3.17) and (4.17) with the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W_G(R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tau_R^G} & \Delta_G(R) \\
 \searrow \gamma_R^G & \cong \quad \curvearrowright \quad \cong & \swarrow \theta_R^G \\
 & \mathcal{AP}_G(R) &
 \end{array}
 \tag{4.18}$$

we can provide our main result.

Theorem 4.16. *The functor $\mathcal{AP}_G$ is equivalent to the functor Δ_G . Hence, it is equivalent to the functor W_G .*

5. INDUCTIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

The functors W_G , Δ_G and $\mathcal{AP}_G$ come equipped with two important families of additive homomorphisms: inductions and restrictions. We already have defined inductions on Δ_G and $\mathcal{AP}_G$ in Section 3 and 4. On the other hand, given a commutative ring R with identity and an open subgroup U of G , W_G has an additive homomorphism $v_U : W_U(R) \rightarrow W_G(R)$ (see [2, 10, 11]).

Proposition 5.1. *For every commutative ring R with identity, the maps τ_R , θ_R and γ_R preserve induction maps. That is, for every open subgroup U of G we have*

- (a) $\text{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \tau_R^U = \tau_R^G \circ v_U$.
- (b) $\text{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \theta_R^U = \theta_R^G \circ \text{Ind}_U^G(R)$.
- (b) $\text{Ind}_U^G(R) \circ \gamma_R^U = \gamma_R^G \circ v_U$.

Proof. We may assume that R contains $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring since $\Delta_G(R)$, $\text{Ind}_U^G(R)$, and $\text{Ind}_U^G(R)$ are constructed from $R\mathbb{Q}$ if R is torsion free and from a torsion free ring R' if R is not torsion free. So, assume that $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$. In this case (a) was proven in [Section 2.3, [11]] and (b) was proven in Lemma 4.7. On the other hand, (c) can be obtained by combining (a) with (b) (by definition of γ_R^G). $\square$

For restrictions similar results hold. Associated with W_G it is known that there exists a ring homomorphism $f_U : W_G(R) \rightarrow W_U(R)$ for every ring R and every open subgroup U of G (see [2, 10, 11]). Now we define restrictions $\text{Res}_U^G(R) : \Delta_G(R) \rightarrow \Delta_U(R)$ as follows:

Case1 Suppose that R contains $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. Define

$$\text{Res}_U^G(R) : \Delta_G(R) \rightarrow \Delta_U(R)$$

by

$$(b_V)'_{V \leq G} \mapsto \left(\sum_V' \sum_g b_V \right)'_{W \leq U},$$

where g ranges over a set of representatives of U -orbits of G/V satisfying $Z(g, U, V)$ is conjugate to W in U .

Case2 Suppose that R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, but it is torsion free. From the map $\text{Res}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q})|_{\Delta_G(R)}$ let us obtain the

map

$$\text{Res}_U^G(R) : \Delta_G(R) \rightarrow \Delta_U(R).$$

Actually the following lemma implies that this map is well-defined.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, but it is torsion-free. Then*

$$\text{Im}(\text{Res}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q})|_{\Delta_G(R)}) \subset \Delta_U(R).$$

Proof. In essence the proof is same as that of Lemma 3.4 (b). By [Section 3.2, [11]], for $\mathbf{a} = (a_W)'_{W \leq U} \in W_G(R\mathbb{Q})$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^U)^{-1} \circ \text{Res}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q}) \circ \tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^G(\mathbf{a}) \\ &= (q_V)'_{V \leq U}, \end{aligned}$$

where q_V is a polynomial with integral coefficients in those a_{W_i} $1 \leq i \leq k$ (where, $\{W_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is a system of subgroups of G containing a conjugate of U). In view of the definition of $\Delta_U(R)$, this implies that if $\mathbf{a} = (a_W)'_{W \leq U} \in W_G(R)$ then $\tau_{R\mathbb{Q}}^U((q_V)'_{V \leq U})$ should be in $\Delta_U(R)$. Thus we complete the proof. $\square$

Case3 Suppose that R is not torsion free. For a surjective ring homomorphism $\rho : R' \rightarrow R$ from a torsion free ring R' , the map $\text{Res}_U^G(R') : \Delta_G(R') \rightarrow \Delta_U(R')$ induces

$$\overline{\text{Res}_U^G(R')} : \Delta_G(R')/\Delta_G(\ker \rho) \rightarrow \Delta_U(R')/\Delta_U(\ker \rho).$$

Let

$$\text{Res}_U^G(R) := \overline{\text{Res}_U^G(R')}.$$

Associated with the functor $\mathcal{AP}_G$ we define restrictions $\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R) : \mathcal{AP}_G(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_U(R)$ as follows:

Case1 Suppose that R contains $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. Define

$$\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R) : \mathcal{AP}_G(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_U(R)$$

by

$$(b_V)'_{V \leq G} \mapsto \left(\sum'_V \sum_g \frac{(U : W)}{(G : V)} b_V \right)'_{W \leq U},$$

where g ranges over a set of representatives of U -orbits of G/V satisfying $Z(g, U, V)$ is conjugate to W in U .

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose that R is an arbitrary commutative ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. Then*

$$\theta_R^U \circ \text{Res}_U^G(R) = \mathbf{Res}_U^G(R) \circ \theta_R^G. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. For any $\mathbf{x} = (x_V)'_{V \leq G} \in \Delta_G(R)$,

$$\theta_R^U \circ \text{Res}_U^G(R)(\mathbf{x}) = \left((U : W) \sum'_V \sum_g x_V \right)'_{W \leq U},$$

where g ranges over a set of representatives of U -orbits of G/V such that $Z(g, U, V)$ is U -conjugate to W . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Res}_U^G(R) \circ \theta_R^G(\mathbf{x}) &= \mathbf{Res}_U^G(R) \left(((G : V)x_V)'_{V \leq G} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum'_V \sum_g \frac{(U : W)}{(G : V)} (G : V)x_V \right)'_{W \leq U} \\ &= \left((U : W) \sum'_V \sum_g x_V \right)'_{W \leq U}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Case2 Suppose that R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, or it is torsion free. From the map $\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q})|_{\mathcal{AP}_G(R)}$ let us obtain the map

$$\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R) : \mathcal{AP}_G(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_U(R).$$

The following lemma guarantees the well-definedness of this map.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring, but it is torsion-free. Then*

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R\mathbb{Q})|_{\Delta_G(R)}) \subset \mathcal{AP}_U(R).$$

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 5.3 we can apply the method of the proof of Lemma 4.11. $\square$

Case3 Finally suppose that R is not torsion free. For a surjective ring homomorphism $\rho : R' \rightarrow R$ from a torsion free ring R' , the map $\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R') : \mathcal{AP}_G(R') \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_U(R')$ induces

$$\overline{\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R')} : \mathcal{AP}_G(R')/\mathcal{AP}_G(\ker \rho) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}_U(R')/\mathcal{AP}_U(\ker \rho).$$

Let

$$\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R) := \overline{\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R')}.$$

Proposition 5.5.

- (a) $\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R) \circ \tau_R^G = \tau_R^U \circ f_U$.
- (b) $\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R) \circ \theta_R^G = \theta_R^U \circ \mathbf{Res}_U^G(R)$.
- (b) $\mathbf{Res}_U^G(R) \circ \gamma_R^G = \gamma_R^U \circ f_U$.

Proof. The proof is similar with Proposition 5.1. By definition of $\mathcal{AP}_G$, $\mathbf{Res}_U^G$, and $\mathbf{Res}_U^G$ we may assume that R contains $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring. In this case, (a) was proven in [Section 2.3, [11]] and (b) was proven Lemma 5.3. On the other hand, (c) follows from (a) and (b) (by definition of γ_R^G). $\square$

Finally we close this section by remarking inductions and restrictions on $R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_U : R^{\mathcal{O}(U)} &\rightarrow R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}, \\ \mathcal{F}_U : R^{\mathcal{O}(G)} &\rightarrow R^{\mathcal{O}(U)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if R is torsion-free, then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is one-to-one. Therefore in this case we can define ν_U and $\mathcal{F}_U$ via $\tilde{\varphi}$ on the image of $\tilde{\varphi}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_U &:= \tilde{\varphi} \circ \text{Ind}_U^G \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}, \\ \mathcal{F}_U &:= \tilde{\varphi} \circ \text{Res}_U^G \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that this method is no more valid unless R is torsion-free. Now let us consider the case R has torsion.

Lemma 5.6. *Let R be a commutative ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. For any $\mathbf{b} = (b_V)'_{V \leq G} \in R^{\mathcal{O}(G)}$ let us write*

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{b}) = (a_V)'_{V \leq G}.$$

Then for every open subgroup V of G , a_V can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_V(G/V)} \sum'_{V \lesssim W \leq G} c_W b_W \quad (5.2)$$

for some $c_W \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. In order to prove this we shall use the mathematical induction on index $(G : V)$. First note that $a_G = b_G$. Now we assume that the desired assertion holds for all open subgroups W of G such that $(G : W) < (G : V)$. From

$$\sum'_{V \lesssim W \leq G} \varphi_V(G/W) a_W = b_V$$

we know that

$$a_V = \frac{1}{\varphi_V(G/V)} \left(b_V - \sum'_{\substack{V \lesssim W \leq G \\ V \approx W}} \varphi_V(G/W) a_W \right).$$

Note that $\varphi_W(G/W)$ divides $\varphi_V(G/W)$ for every $V \lesssim W$ since the group $\text{Aut}(G/W)$ is acting freely on the set of G -morphisms from G/V to G/W and the number of elements of this set equals $\varphi_V(G/W)$ (see [2]). Combining these facts with induction hypothesis yields our assertion. $\square$

Proposition 5.7. *Let R be a commutative ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. For any $\mathbf{b} = (b_V)'_{V \leq U} \in R^{\mathcal{O}(U)}$ we let*

$$\tilde{\varphi} \circ \text{Ind}_U^G \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{b}) = (t_V)'_{V \leq G}.$$

Then for every open subgroup V of U , t_V is a polynomial in

$$\{b_W : V \lesssim W \text{ (in } G) \text{ and } W \leq U\}$$

with integer coefficients. Unless V is not an open subgroup of U , then t_V is zero.

Proof. Writing

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{b}) = (a_{V'})'_{V' \leq U},$$

then

$$\text{Ind}_U^G \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\mathbf{b}) = (\alpha_V)'_{V \leq G},$$

where α_V is the sum of $a_{V'}$'s such that V' is conjugate to V in G . Therefore

$$t_V = \sum'_{V \lesssim W \leq G} \varphi_V(G/W) \alpha_W. \quad (5.3)$$

Now, we claim that $\varphi_W(U/W)$ divides $\varphi_V(G/W)$. To show this we observe that $\varphi_W(G/W)$ is $(N_G(W) : W)$, the index of W in the normalizer of W in G , and $N_U(W) = N_G(W) \cap U$. This implies that $\varphi_W(U/W)$ divides $\varphi_W(G/W)$. On the other hand, we already know that $\varphi_W(G/W)$ divides $\varphi_V(G/W)$. Hence we can conclude that $\varphi_W(U/W)$ divides $\varphi_V(G/W)$. Now apply Lemma 5.6 to Eq. (5.3) to get our assertion. In case where V is not an open subgroup of U , $\alpha_W = 0$ for all $V \lesssim W$. Therefore t_V is zero. $\square$

Proposition 5.7 has an amusing consequence that we can define inductions ν_U for arbitrary commutative rings using polynomials t_V 's. For example, in case G is abelian they are given by

$$(b_V)'_{V \leq G} \mapsto (c_W)'_{W \leq U},$$

where

$$c_W = \begin{cases} (G : U)b_W & \text{if } W \leq U, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By definition of ν_U it is straightforward that

$$\nu_U \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \text{Ind}_U^G.$$

Compared with inductions, restrictions can be defined well. For every commutative ring, define $\mathcal{F}_U : R^{\mathcal{O}(G)} \rightarrow R^{\mathcal{O}(U)}$ by

$$(b_V)'_{V \leq G} \mapsto (c_W)'_{W \leq U}$$

where

$$c_W := \begin{cases} b_V & \text{if } W \text{ is conjugate to } V \text{ in } G, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Indeed if R contains $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring, then we can verify that

$$\mathcal{F}_U = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \text{Res}_U^G \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}.$$

Hence we can conclude that

$$\mathcal{F}_U \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \text{Res}_U^G$$

(see [10]).

6. q -DEFORMATION OF THE FUNCTOR W AND ITS EQUIVALENT FUNCTORS

Let R be a commutative ring with unity. Surprisingly it will turn out to exist well-defined q -deformations of $W(R)$, $\mathbf{Nr}(R)$, and $\mathcal{AP}(R)$ (the subscript $G = \hat{C}$ will be omitted) for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this section we introduce these q -deformations, and show that their constructions will be functorial and the resulting functors are equivalent.

For a torsion-free ring R , we let $W^F(R)$ be the group of Witt-vectors over R associated with the formal group law F and $\mathcal{C}(F, R)$ be the group of curves in F . It is well-known that $W^F(R)$ and $\mathcal{C}(F, R)$ are values of functors from formal group laws over R to groups, and that the Artin-Hasse exponential map

$$H^F : W^F(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(F, R)$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 1}^F \alpha_n t^n$$

gives a natural equivalence of functors (see [5, 7]). On the other hand, C. Lenart found in [7] that it is possible to endow $W^F(R)$ with multiplicative structure for some formal group laws, more precisely for

$$F_q(X, Y) := X + Y - qXY, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

To avoid confusion we shall adapt and use all notations and definitions in [7] without changes (and without any explanations). Fix a formal group law F_q for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, and define a map $\lambda : \mathbf{Gh}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gh}(R)$ by $\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = (nx_n)_{n \geq 1}$ for all $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$. With this notation, C. Lenart proved the following facts on the multiplicative structure of $W^q(R)$ (the superscript q will be used instead of F_q).

Lemma 6.1. (Lenart [7])

(a) *There is a ring structure on $W^q(\mathbb{Z})$ such that the restriction of $\lambda \circ w^q$ is a ring homomorphism. The map H^q provides an isomorphic ring structure on $\mathcal{C}(F_q, \mathbb{Z})$.*

(b) *Let R be a torsion free commutative ring with identity. There are ring structures on $\mathbf{Nr}^q(R)$, $W^q(R)$, and $\mathcal{C}(F_q, R)$ such that the restrictions of $\lambda \circ g^q$ and $\lambda \circ w^q$ are ring homomorphism, and the restriction of H^q is a ring isomorphism. The corresponding Frobenius and the Verschiebung operators are endomorphisms of these rings.*

(c) *If R is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra or $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(r)}$, there are restrictions of the maps T^q and c^q to the rings $\mathbf{Nr}^q(R)$, $W^q(R)$, and $\mathcal{C}(F_q, R)$, and they are isomorphisms. Furthermore, the Verschiebung and Frobenius operators commutes with the maps T^q and c^q .*

(d) *The statements in (b) also holds if q is viewed as an indeterminate, and R is an algebra over the ring of numerical polynomials in q (in particular, if it coincides with this ring).*

Actually Lemma 6.1 implies a little more. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots; b_1, b_2, \dots]$, and let $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \geq 1}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_A^q : W^q(A) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Gh}(A), \\ \mathbf{x} &\mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} dq^{\frac{n}{d}-1} x_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \right)_{n \geq 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us solve the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_A^q(\mathbf{s}^q) &= \Phi_A^q(\mathbf{a}) + \Phi_A^q(\mathbf{b}), \\ \Phi_A^q(\mathbf{p}^q) &= \Phi_A^q(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \Phi_A^q(\mathbf{b}), \\ \Phi_A^q(\iota^q) &= -\Phi_A^q(\mathbf{a}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{s}^q = (s_n^q)_{n \geq 1}$, $\mathbf{p}^q = (p_n^q)_{n \geq 1}$, and $\iota^q = (\iota_n^q)_{n \geq 1}$. Note that on these equations Lemma 6.1 (a) implies the following fact.

Lemma 6.2. (cf. Lenart [7]) *Fix $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then s_n^q, p_n^q are polynomials in a_d, b_d 's for $d|n$ with integral coefficients. Similarly, ι_n^q is a polynomial in a_d 's for $1 \leq d \leq n$ with integral coefficients for every $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. The desired result for p_n^q was due to M. Hopkins (see [7]). So we shall consider s_n^q and ι_n^q only. To begin with, we note that

$$\Phi_A^q(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{q} \Phi_A(q\mathbf{x}).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_A^q(\mathbf{a}) + \Phi_A^q(\mathbf{b}) &= \frac{1}{q}\Phi_A(q\mathbf{a}) + \frac{1}{q}\Phi_A(q\mathbf{b}) && \text{in } \mathbf{Gh}(A) \\
&= \frac{1}{q}\Phi_A(q\mathbf{a} + q\mathbf{b}) && \text{in } W(A) \\
&= \Phi_A^q\left(\frac{1}{q}(q\mathbf{a} + q\mathbf{b})\right) && \text{in } W(A).
\end{aligned}$$

This computation implies that

$$s_n^q = \frac{1}{q}s_n(qa_d, qb_d : d|n).$$

Since s_n is a polynomial without constant term s_n^q is a polynomial with integer constants. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Phi_A^q(\mathbf{a}) &= -\frac{1}{q}\Phi_A(q\mathbf{a}) \\
&= \frac{1}{q}\Phi_A(-q\mathbf{a}) \quad ('-' \text{ means the inverse of } + \text{ in } W(A)) \\
&= \Phi_A^q\left(\frac{1}{q}(-q\mathbf{a})\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\iota_n^q = \frac{1}{q}\iota_n(-qa_n : 1 \leq d \leq n).$$

Clearly it has integer coefficients since ι_n has integer coefficients and no constant term. $\square$

Lemma 6.2 has an amusing consequence that we can define W^q as a functor from the category of commutative rings with identity to the category of commutative rings.

Remark. In general $W^q(R)$ does not have the identity unless R contains $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring. Indeed, if exists, the identity can be determined inductively by letting

$$\sum_{d|n} dq^{\frac{n}{d}-1}a_d^{\frac{n}{d}} = 1$$

for all n .

For $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, let us define $W^q(R)$ for any commutative ring R with identity as follows:

(W^q1) As a set, it is $R^{\mathbb{N}}$.

(W^q2) For any ring homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, the map $W^q(f) : \mathbf{a} \mapsto (f(a_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is a ring homomorphism for $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

(W^q3) The map $\Phi_R^q : W^q(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gh}(R)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} dq^{\frac{n}{d}-1}a_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \right)_{n \geq 1} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$$

is a ring homomorphism.

On the other hand, since Artin-Hasse map H^q is determined by universal polynomials with integer coefficients

$$H^q(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 t + x_2 t + (x_3 - qx_1 x_2) t^3 + (x_4 - qx_1 x_3) t^4 + \cdots,$$

we can endow $\mathcal{C}(F_q, R)$ with the ring structure via H^q . Consequently for every commutative ring R with identity we get a ring isomorphism $H^q : W^q(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(F_q, R)$. Frobenius and Verschiebung operators can be also defined $W^q(R)$ and $\mathcal{C}(F_q, R)$, which are preserved by H^q since they are also given by universal polynomials with integer coefficients in torsion free cases.

As we did in Section 3 and 4, we can construct functors Δ^q and $\mathcal{AP}^q$ which are equivalent to W^q (so to $\mathcal{C}(F_q, \cdot)$). Indeed the process of their construction is exactly same as that of Δ_G and $\mathcal{AP}_G$. First we define $\Delta^q(R)$ as follows:

Case1 If R contains $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring, then we let

$$\Delta^q(R) = \mathbf{Nr}^q(R).$$

The ring $\mathbf{Nr}^q(R)$ is defined by the following conditions (for the completeness, refer to [7]):

($\mathbf{Nr}^q1$) As a set, it is $R^{\mathbb{N}}$.

($\mathbf{Nr}^q2$) Addition is defined componentwise.

($\mathbf{Nr}^q3$) Multiplication is defined so that for $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathbf{Nr}^q(R)$, the n -th component of $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ is given by

$$\sum_{[i,j] \mid n} (i, j) P_{n,i,j}(q) x_i y_j,$$

where $P_{n,i,j}(q)$, $[i, j] \mid n$ are numerical polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[q]$ given by

$$\frac{j}{(i, j)_q} \sum_{d \mid n/[i, j]} \tau^q \left(\frac{n}{[i, j]d}, \frac{n}{i} \right) S(q^{[i, j]/j}, d),$$

and the notation $\tau^q(i, n)$ denotes the quantity $\sum_{d \mid i} \mu^q(1, d) \zeta^q(d, n)$ (for the definition of μ^q and ζ^q , see below). Introduce the q -exponential map $M^q : R \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}^q(R)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto (M^q(x, n))_{n \geq 1},$$

where

$$M^q(\mathbf{x}, n) = \sum_{d \mid n} \mu^q(d, n) \frac{q^{d-1}}{d} x^d.$$

Here $\mu^q(d, n)$ is the (d, n) -th entry of the inverse of the matrix ζ^q defined on the lattice $D(n)$ of divisors given by

$$\zeta^q(d_1, d_2) := \begin{cases} \frac{d_1}{d_2} q^{\frac{d_2}{d_1}-1} & \text{if } d_1 \mid d_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that unless $q = 1$, M^q is not multiplicative. Denote Frobenius and Verschiebung operators by V_r^q and f_r^q for $r \geq 1$ respectively. Note that Verschiebung operators are defined regardless of q , that is, $V_r^q = V_r^1 = V_r$, whereas f_r^q , which is a ring homomorphism, is defined by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \left(r \sum_{d \mid rn} \tau^q \left(\frac{rn}{[r, d]}, \frac{rn}{d} \right) x_d \right)_{n \geq 1}. \quad (6.1)$$

From [7] it follows that the q -Teichmüller map

$$T^q : W^q(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}^q(R)$$

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n M^q(x_n), \quad \mathbf{x} = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$$

is a ring isomorphism.

Finally we let $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q = \lambda \circ g^q$. More precisely $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q : \Delta^q(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gh}(R)$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} dq^{\frac{n}{d}-1} x_d \right)_{n \geq 1}$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \Delta^q(R)$. It is well known (see [5, 7]) that

$$\Phi_R^q = \tilde{\varphi}_R^q \circ T^q,$$

and moreover Lemma 6.1 says that $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q$ is a ring homomorphism.

Case2 If R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring, but it is torsion free, then we let

$$\Delta^q(R) := T^q(W^q(R)).$$

By definition $\Delta^q(R\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $W^q(R)$ and $\mathcal{C}(F_q, R)$. It is easy to verify that there exist restrictions of the maps $V_r : \Delta^q(R\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \Delta^q(R\mathbb{Q})$, $f_r^q : \Delta^q(R\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \Delta^q(R\mathbb{Q})$, $M^q : R\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}^q(R\mathbb{Q})$, and $\tilde{\varphi}_{R\mathbb{Q}}^q : \Delta^q(R\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gh}(R\mathbb{Q})$ to the maps $V_r : \Delta^q(R) \rightarrow \Delta^q(R)$, $f_r^q : \Delta^q(R) \rightarrow \Delta^q(R)$, $M^q : R \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}^q(R)$, and $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q : \Delta^q(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gh}(R)$. For example, for Frobenius operators observe that the equation (6.1) has only integer coefficients, i.e.,

$$r\tau^q \left(\frac{rn}{[r, d]}, \frac{rn}{d} \right) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $f_r^q(\Delta^q(R)) \subset \Delta^q(R)$.

Proposition 6.3. *If R is a torsion-free commutative ring with unity such that $a^p = a \bmod pR$ if p is a prime, then*

$$\Delta^q(R) = \mathbf{Nr}^q(R).$$

Proof. By [7] we know that

$$M^q(x, n) = \sum_{d|n} \left(d\tau^q \left(\frac{n}{d}, n \right) \right) M(x, d),$$

and $d\tau^q \left(\frac{n}{d}, n \right) \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$ are numerical polynomials for all positive integers d, n with $d|n$. On the other hand, by hypothesis on R , it has a unique special λ -ring structure with $\Psi^n = id$ for all $n \geq 1$ (see [Section 2, [11]]). It implies that $M(x, d) \in R$ for $x \in R$. Therefore we get $M^q(x, n) \in R$, and which means that $\Delta^q(R) \subset \mathbf{Nr}^q(R)$. Now, to show $\Delta^q(R) \supset \mathbf{Nr}^q(R)$ choose an arbitrary element $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathbf{Nr}^q(R)$. As in the classical case (i.e., $q=1$), we can find a_n 's in R inductively such that $T^q((a_n)_{n \geq 1}) = \mathbf{x}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

In case R is torsion-free $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q$ is an injective ring homomorphism and its inverse is given by

$$(\tilde{\varphi}_R^q)^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{d|n} \mu^q(d, n) \frac{x_d}{d} \tag{6.2}$$

if $\mathbf{x}$ belongs to the image of $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q$. Thus we can state a q -analogue of Proposition 3.2.

Proposition 6.4. *Let R be a torsion-free ring. Then for $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \text{Im } \tilde{\varphi}_R^q$ the following equation holds (in $R\mathbb{Q}$):*

$$\tilde{M}^q(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}, n) = \sum_{[i,j]=n} (i, i)P_{n,i,j}(q)\tilde{M}^q(\mathbf{a}, i)\tilde{M}^q(\mathbf{b}, j),$$

where

$$\tilde{M}(\mathbf{a}, n) := \sum_{d|n} \mu^q(d, n) \frac{a_d}{d}.$$

In particular, by considering the case $\mathbf{a} = (q^{n-1}x^n)_{n \geq 1}$, $\mathbf{b} = (q^{n-1}y^n)_{n \geq 1}$, we can recover Proposition 5.15 in [7]

$$M^q(qxy) = qM^q(x) \cdot M^q(y).$$

Case3 Finally if R is not torsion-free, for a surjective ring homomorphism $\rho : R' \rightarrow R$ from a torsion free ring R' , we define

$$\Delta^q(R) := \Delta^q(R') / \Delta^q(\ker \rho).$$

Let us obtain $T^q : W^q(R) \rightarrow \Delta^q(R)$, V_r , f_r^q ($r \geq 1$), M^q , and $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q$ in the same way as we did in Section 3.

Consequently we arrive at the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W^q(R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{T^q} & \Delta^q(R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{H^q \circ T^{q-1}} & \mathcal{C}(F_q, R) \\ & \searrow \Phi_R^q & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi}_R^q & & \nearrow \lambda^{-1} \circ E^q \\ & & \mathbf{Gh}(R) & & \end{array}$$

Note that all maps appearing in this diagram preserve each Frobenius and Verschiebung operator since they do in case $R = \mathbb{Z}$. As for morphisms of Δ^q , they can be obtained in the same way as done in Section 3.

Finally we are going to introduce q -aperiodic ring functor $\mathcal{AP}^q$. To begin with, we define $\mathbf{Ap}^q(R)$ as follows.

(**Ap**^q1) As a set, it is $R^{\mathbb{N}}$.

(**Ap**^q2) Addition is defined componentwise.

(**Ap**^q3) Multiplication is defined so that for $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)_n$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_n)_n$ in $\mathbf{Ap}^q(R)$, the n -th component of $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ is given by

$$\sum_{[i,j]=n} \frac{n}{[i,j]} P_{n,i,j}(q) x_i y_j.$$

Let us define a map $\varphi_R^q : \mathbf{Ap}^q(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gh}(R)$ by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \left(\sum_{d|n} q^{\frac{n}{d}-1} x_d \right)_{n \geq 1}$$

for $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathbf{Ap}^q(R)$.

Proposition 6.5. *For every commutative ring R with identity, we have*

(a) φ_R^q is an isomorphism of the additive group $\mathbf{Ap}^q(R)$ onto $\mathbf{Gh}(R)$.

(b) For any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Ap}^q(R)$,

$$\varphi_R^q(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}) = \varphi_R^q(\mathbf{x})\varphi_R^q(\mathbf{y}).$$

Proof. (a) This proof is identical to that of Proposition 4.1 (a).

(b) Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_n)_{n \geq 1}$. To prove our assertion we have to show that for every $n \geq 1$ it holds

$$\sum_{d|n} q^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \left(\sum_{[i,j]|d} P_{d,i,j}(q) x_i y_j \right) = \left(\sum_{e|n} q^{\frac{n}{e}-1} x_e \right) \left(\sum_{f|n} q^{\frac{n}{f}-1} y_f \right).$$

Equivalently, we have only to show that for every $n \geq 1$ and $i, j | n$

$$\sum_{\substack{[i,j]|d \\ d|n}} \frac{d}{[i,j]} q^{\frac{n}{d}-1} P_{d,i,j}(q) = q^{\frac{n}{i} + \frac{n}{j} - 2}. \quad (6.3)$$

This follows from the fact that $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q$ is a ring homomorphism. In fact, by computing the coefficient of $x_i y_j$ in $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q(\mathbf{x}) \tilde{\varphi}_R^q(\mathbf{y})$, we obtain

$$\sum_{d|n} d q^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \left(\sum_{[i,j]|d} (i, j) P_{d,i,j}(q) \right) = i j q^{\frac{n}{i} + \frac{n}{j} - 2},$$

and which is clearly equivalent to (6.3). $\square$

Note that the inverse of φ_R^q is given by

$$(\varphi_R^q)^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{d|n} \mu^q(d, n) \frac{n}{d} x_d. \quad (6.4)$$

Thus we have a q -analogue of Proposition 4.4.

Proposition 6.6. *Let R be a commutative ring. Then for $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \geq 1} \in R^{\mathbb{N}}$ the following equation holds :*

$$\tilde{S}^q(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}, n) = \sum_{[i,j]=n} P_{n,i,j}(q) \tilde{S}^q(\mathbf{a}, i) \tilde{S}^q(\mathbf{b}, j),$$

where

$$\tilde{S}(\mathbf{a}, n) := \sum_{d|n} \mu^q(d, n) \frac{n}{d} a_d.$$

According to Proposition 6.5 $\mathbf{Ap}^q(R)$ is a commutative ring. As in Section 4, we define

$$\mathbf{V}_r : \mathbf{Ap}^q(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ap}^q(R)$$

by

$$(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \mapsto (r x_{\frac{n}{r}})_{n \geq 1} \quad \text{with } x_{\frac{n}{r}} := 0 \text{ if } \frac{n}{r} \notin \mathbb{N},$$

and define

$$\mathbf{f}_r^q : \mathbf{Ap}^q(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ap}^q(R)$$

by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto \left(r \sum_{d|rn} \tau^q \left(\frac{rn}{[r, d]}, \frac{rn}{d} \right) \frac{n}{d} x_d \right)_{n \geq 1}. \quad (6.5)$$

Actually these operators are defined via the isomorphism θ_R^q (see **case 1** in the below). This means that θ_R^q is compatible with these operators. Now we are ready to construct the functor $\mathcal{AP}^q$. Let us define $\mathcal{AP}^q(R)$ in the following steps.

Case 1 Suppose that R is an arbitrary commutative ring containing $\mathbb{Q}$ (or a field of characteristic zero) as a subring. In this case we let

$$\mathcal{AP}^q(R) = \mathbf{Ap}^q(R).$$

From Proposition 6.5 it follows that the map

$$\theta_R^q : \Delta^q(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}^q(R)$$

given by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto (nx_n)_{n \geq 1}$$

for all $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a ring isomorphism, and $\tilde{\varphi}_R^q = \varphi_R^q \circ \theta_R^q$. We already mentioned that θ_R^q preserves the Frobenius and Verschiebung operators. Now, composing θ_R^q with T^q we get the isomorphism

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{V}_n \circ S^q : W^q(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}^q(R).$$

Here the q -exponential map $S^q : R \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}^q(R)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{x} \mapsto (S^q(\mathbf{x}, n))_{n \geq 1},$$

where

$$S^q(\mathbf{x}, n) := nM^q(\mathbf{x}, n).$$

Case2 If R does not contain $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subring, but it is torsion free, then we let

$$\mathcal{AP}^q(R) := \theta_{R\mathbb{Q}}^q(\Delta^q(R)).$$

Let us obtain $\theta_R^q : \Delta^q(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{AP}^q(R)$, $\mathbf{V}_r$, $\mathbf{f}_r^q$ ($r \geq 1$), S^q , and φ_R^q in the same way via restrictions as we did in Section 4.

Case3 Finally if R is not torsion-free, for a surjective ring homomorphism $\rho : R' \rightarrow R$ from a torsion free ring R' , we define

$$\mathcal{AP}^q(R) := \mathcal{AP}^q(R') / \mathcal{AP}^q(\ker \rho).$$

By construction $\Delta_q(R)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{AP}^q(R)$ for every commutative ring R with identity, and the process to obtain the Frobenius and Verschiebung operators and φ_R^q seems to be routine. So we shall skip it. Similarly it can be shown that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_q(R) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta_R^q} & \mathcal{AP}^q(R) \\ & \searrow \tilde{\varphi}_R^q & \swarrow \varphi_R^q \\ & \mathbf{Gh}(R) & \end{array} \quad (6.6)$$

is commutative. The set of morphisms of $\mathcal{AP}^q$ are same with that of $\mathcal{AP}$ (see Section 4).

Summing up our arguments until now, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 6.7. *The functors W^q , $\mathcal{C}(F_q, \cdot)$, Δ^q , and $\mathcal{AP}^q$ are equivalent for all $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. And each natural equivalence among them is compatible with the Frobenius and Verschiebung operators.*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brun, *Witt vectors and Tambara functors*, arXiv:math.AC/0304495 v2.
- [2] A. Dress, C. Siebeneicher, *The Burnside ring of profinite groups and the Witt vectors construction*, Adv. Math. **70** (1988), 87-132.
- [3] A. Dress, C. Siebeneicher, *The Burnside ring of the infinite cyclic group and its relation to the necklace algebra, λ -ring and the Universal ring of the Witt vectors*, Adv. Math. **78** (1989), 1-41.
- [4] J. J. Graham, *Generalized Witt vectors*, Adv. Math. **99** (1993), 248-263.
- [5] M. Hazewinkel, *Formal groups and applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [6] G. James, A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics. **16**, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981.
- [7] C. Lenart, *Formal group-theoretic generalization of the necklace algebra, including a q -deformation*, J. Algebra. **199** (1998), 703-732.
- [8] N. Metropolis, G.-C. Rota, *Witt vectors and the algebra of necklaces*, Adv. Math. **50** (1983), 95-125.
- [9] P. Moree, *The formal series Witt transform*, arXiv:math.CO/0311194 v1.
- [10] Y. -T. Oh, *R-analogue of the Burnside ring if profinite groups and free Lie algebras*, Adv. Math., in press.
- [11] Y. -T. Oh, *Necklace rings and logarithmic functions*, arXiv:math.RA/0404161 v3.
- [12] K. Varadarajan, K. Wehrhahn, *Aperiodic rings, necklace rings, and Witt vectors*, Adv. Math. **81** (1990), 1-29.
- [13] E. Witt, *Zyklisch Körper und Algebren der Charakteristik p vom Grade p^m* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **176** (1937), 126-140.

KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 207-43 CHEONGRYANGRI-DONG, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 130-722, KOREA

E-mail address: `ohyt@kias.re.kr`